

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
STATE SUMMARY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$293,757,384 (Amount equals \$654,000,000 allocation, plus \$773,684 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,825,336 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$694,453 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$233,116,895 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$125,639,424 transferred to Title XX, \$2,600,202 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$417,822 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$372,840 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$293,757,384
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 3 project(s) to serve 438 families		3 project(s) served 766 families		
Case Management 31 project(s) to serve 8,516 families		31 project(s) served 32,452 families		
Child Only Services 10 project(s) to serve 5,298 families		10 project(s) served 22,147 families		
Child Well Being 10 project(s) to serve 721 families		10 project(s) served 611 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 6 project(s) to serve 294 families		6 project(s) served 526 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 128 families		3 project(s) served 755 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 7 project(s) to serve 5,294 families		7 project(s) served 6,091 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 9 project(s) to serve 1,145 families		9 project(s) served 2,177 families		
Housing 13 project(s) to serve 4,629 families		13 project(s) served 6,711 families		
SSI Maximization 8 project(s) to serve 575 families		8 project(s) served 604 families		
Program Support and Training 4 project(s) to serve 900 families		4 project(s) served 0 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 13 project(s) to serve 5,707 families		13 project(s) served 16,538 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 15,123 families		25 project(s) served 52,748 families		
Other 21 project(s) to serve 2,239,601 families		21 project(s) served 1,865,053 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		19,574 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1,900 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1,157 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		7,498 individuals indicated danger		
		5,214 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 177,968		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		28,894 Unsubsidized Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	1,009 Subsidized Employment	
	6,837 Work Experience	
	102 Community Service	
	35 On the Job Training	
	959 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2,736 Job Search	
	2,421 Vocational Education	
	1,019 Job Skills Training	
	1,578 Education Related to Employment	
	242 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	110 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25,457	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39,124	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ROS SUMMARY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$90,944,419 (Amount equals \$297,614,035 allocation, plus \$773,684 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,825,336 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$694,453 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$154,900,895 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$50,282,424 transferred to Title XX, \$2,600,202 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$417,822 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$372,840 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$90,944,419
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 3 project(s) to serve 438 families		3 project(s) served 766 families		
Case Management 31 project(s) to serve 8,516 families		31 project(s) served 32,452 families		
Child Only Services 10 project(s) to serve 5,298 families		10 project(s) served 22,147 families		
Child Well Being 10 project(s) to serve 721 families		10 project(s) served 611 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 6 project(s) to serve 294 families		6 project(s) served 526 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 128 families		3 project(s) served 755 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 6 project(s) to serve 2,570 families		6 project(s) served 5,403 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 9 project(s) to serve 1,145 families		9 project(s) served 2,177 families		
Housing 12 project(s) to serve 3,201 families		12 project(s) served 4,362 families		
SSI Maximization 8 project(s) to serve 575 families		8 project(s) served 604 families		
Program Support and Training 4 project(s) to serve 900 families		4 project(s) served 0 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 13 project(s) to serve 5,707 families		13 project(s) served 16,538 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 24 project(s) to serve 1,707 families		24 project(s) served 2,557 families		
Other 19 project(s) to serve 3,509 families		19 project(s) served 9,642 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		13,030 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1,144 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		465 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		2,670 individuals indicated danger		
		1,540 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34,453		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		7,230 Unsubsidized Employment		
		318 Subsidized Employment		
		3,596 Work Experience		
		89 Community Service		
		35 On the Job Training		
		959 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		839 Job Search		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	835 Vocational Education	
	355 Job Skills Training	
	770 Education Related to Employment	
	145 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	110 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,008	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12,203	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ALBANY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,917,869 (Amount equals \$9,693,441 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48,000 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,842,411 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,867,131 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$114,030 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$2,917,869
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 125 families		1 project(s) served 695 families		
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 350 families		1 project(s) served 244 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		292 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		226 individuals indicated danger		
		26 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,777		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		271 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		122 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		47 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		3 Job Search		
		43 Vocational Education		
		50 Job Skills Training		
		9 Education Related to Employment		
		7 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 208		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.7%		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 418		
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ALLEGANY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$741,581 (Amount equals \$2,492,972 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,221,591 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$529,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$741,581
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		87 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		3 individuals indicated danger		
		2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 231		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		44 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		12 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		8 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		37 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
BROOME				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,655,401 (Amount equals \$5,559,739 allocation, plus \$32,850 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,084,199 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$852,989 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,655,401
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 375 families		2 project(s) served 4,612 families		
Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		141 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		38 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		14 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		56 individuals indicated danger		
		44 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 942		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		195 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		93 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		13 On the Job Training		
		33 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		37 Job Search		
		28 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		19 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 166	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 349	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CATTARAUGUS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$931,661 (Amount equals \$3,229,422 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$31,702 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$706 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,950,387 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$366,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$2,370 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$10,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$931,661
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 150 families		1 project(s) served 65 families		
Other 1 project(s) to serve 180 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		38 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		17 individuals indicated danger		
		15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 483		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		26 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		14 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		2 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		6 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CAYUGA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$873,069 (Amount equals \$2,936,170 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$290,744 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$196,389 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,469,456 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$365,000 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$23,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$873,069
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 40 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		24 individuals indicated danger		
		18 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 134		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		38 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		17 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		6 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CHAUTAUQUA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,068,614 (Amount equals \$3,595,793 allocation, plus \$25,325 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,270,030 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$882,474 transferred to Title XX, \$400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,068,614
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 100 families		1 project(s) served 127 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 25 families		1 project(s) served 7 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		89 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		20 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		16 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		63 individuals indicated danger		
		12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,086		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		197 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		84 Work Experience		
		4 Community Service		
		1 On the Job Training		
		16 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		13 Job Search		
		6 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		10 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		1 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 142	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 298	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CHEMUNG				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$587,437 (Amount equals \$3,589,863 allocation, plus \$15,927 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,432,353 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$586,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$587,437
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families		1 project(s) served 363 families		
Other 1 project(s) to serve 100 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		147 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		5 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 148		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		124 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		23 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		28 Job Search		
		9 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		20 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 90	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 169	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CHENANGO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$563,537 (Amount equals \$1,993,849 allocation, plus \$10,064 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$29,156 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,144,532 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$563,537
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 60 families		1 project(s) served 35 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 156 families		1 project(s) served 123 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 15 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		6 individuals indicated danger		
		6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 159		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		16 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		10 Work Experience		
		5 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		43 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		21 Job Search		
		4 Vocational Education		
		3 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 66	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 89.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CLINTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,025,776 (Amount equals \$2,288,459 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$292,543 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,151,698 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$303,528 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,025,776
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		24 individuals indicated danger		
		23 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 258		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		70 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		18 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		33 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		10 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		6 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 54		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 119	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
COLUMBIA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$338,834 (Amount equals \$2,758,476 allocation, plus \$2,034 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,406,676 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$15,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$338,834
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		13 individuals indicated danger		
		11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 158		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		21 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		1 Work Experience		
		4 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		5 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 31	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
CORTLAND				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$258,820 (Amount equals \$1,710,858 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$933,838 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$518,200 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$258,820
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		55 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		19 individuals indicated danger		
		6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 132		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		46 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		19 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		5 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 66	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
DELAWARE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$129,039 (Amount equals \$989,039 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$260,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$129,039
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		28 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		7 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		12 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		7 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 42.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 67.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
DUTCHESS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,417,616 (Amount equals \$6,967,280 allocation, plus \$28,514 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,883,422 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,694,756 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,417,616
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 75 families		1 project(s) served 240 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		99 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		64 individuals indicated danger		
		62 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 324		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		62 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		21 Work Experience		
		9 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		18 Job Search		
		7 Vocational Education		
		2 Job Skills Training		
		9 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 46	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 109	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ERIE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$12,248,748 (Amount equals \$32,896,654 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,337 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$16,129,243 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,520,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$12,248,748
Case Management 3 project(s) to serve 3,130 families		3 project(s) served 20,913 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		392 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		273 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		628 individuals indicated danger		
		367 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,148		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		1,093 Unsubsidized Employment		
		187 Subsidized Employment		
		837 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		12 Job Search		
		71 Vocational Education		
		45 Job Skills Training		
		102 Education Related to Employment		
		14 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,406		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 41.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,924	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ESSEX				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$179,228 (Amount equals \$1,218,788 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$545,310 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$494,250 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$179,228
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		5 individuals indicated danger		
		4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 100		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		8 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		4 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
FRANKLIN				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$610,630 (Amount equals \$1,811,622 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$905,632 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$274,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$21,360 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$610,630
Enhanced Domestic Violence 2 project(s) to serve 56 families		2 project(s) served 272 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 212 families		
Housing 2 project(s) to serve 55 families		2 project(s) served 121 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 5 project(s) to serve 164 families		5 project(s) served 705 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 5 project(s) to serve 135 families		5 project(s) served 349 families		
Other 3 project(s) to serve 54 families		3 project(s) served 291 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		86 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		4 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 36		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		28 Unsubsidized Employment		
		3 Subsidized Employment		
		23 Work Experience		
		4 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	3 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 45%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 66.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
FULTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$537,500 (Amount equals \$2,394,982 allocation, plus \$8,417 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,705,915 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$159,984 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$537,500
Other 1 project(s) to serve 180 families		1 project(s) served 221 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		27 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		14 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		14 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		3 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		6 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 77.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
GENESEE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$371,000 (Amount equals \$1,540,612 allocation, plus \$8,981 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,433 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$768,026 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$412,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$371,000
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 70		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		21 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		4 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
GREENE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$418,500 (Amount equals \$2,011,666 allocation, plus \$7,505 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,276,671 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$324,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$418,500
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 95 families		1 project(s) served 434 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 25 families		1 project(s) served 30 families		
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 46 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		85 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		2 individuals indicated danger		
		2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		39 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		5 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		8 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 48	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
HAMILTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$35,594 (Amount equals \$150,832 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$22,530 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$23,353 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$89,415 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$35,594
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		3 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		0 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 3	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
HERKIMER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$669,858 (Amount equals \$1,857,494 allocation, plus \$5,323 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$49,535 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$923,494 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$319,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$669,858
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 12 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 22 families		1 project(s) served 46 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 14 families		1 project(s) served 110 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 185		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		21 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		9 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		24 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
JEFFERSON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$341,505 (Amount equals \$4,169,063 allocation, plus \$28,023 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$243,482 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,260,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,589,063 transferred to Title XX, \$250,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$341,505
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		95 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		4 individuals indicated danger		
		4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 167		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		20 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		11 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 35	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
LEWIS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$389,163 (Amount equals \$1,053,866 allocation, plus \$7,110 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$33,362 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$471,815 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$185,250 transferred to Title XX, \$41,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$7,110 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$389,163
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 74 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 4 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 130 families		2 project(s) served 219 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		5 individuals indicated danger		
		3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		2 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		6 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 77%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
LIVINGSTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$618,653 (Amount equals \$2,015,676 allocation, plus \$13,977 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,040,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$371,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$618,653
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 189 families		1 project(s) served 275 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 36 families		1 project(s) served 209 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 408 families		1 project(s) served 554 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		41 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		6 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 67		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		27 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		7 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		10 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
MADISON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$595,415 (Amount equals \$1,841,907 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$986,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$259,950 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$595,415
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 350 families		1 project(s) served 1,060 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		1 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		17 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		9 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		4 Job Search		
		4 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
MONROE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$7,115,212 (Amount equals \$22,697,482 allocation, plus \$108,843 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$13,410,044 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$114,088 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$168,300 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$7,115,212
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 2,800 families		1 project(s) served 19,059 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 700 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 1,840 families		1 project(s) served 476 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		2,361 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		310 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		154 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		253 individuals indicated danger		
		115 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,136		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		1,278 Unsubsidized Employment		
		91 Subsidized Employment		
		503 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		4 On the Job Training		
		182 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		5 Job Search		
		95 Vocational Education		
		46 Job Skills Training		
		199 Education Related to Employment		
		25 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	86 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,048	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,022	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
MONTGOMERY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$451,394 (Amount equals \$1,460,312 allocation, plus \$8,135 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$648,053 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$269,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$451,394
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 600 families		1 project(s) served 777 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		529 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		30 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		4 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		14 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 49	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
NASSAU				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,863,398 (Amount equals \$16,403,817 allocation, plus \$67,321 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$8,793,740 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,900,000 transferred to Title XX, \$900,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$14,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$2,863,398
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		3,844 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		147 individuals indicated danger		
		66 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 569		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		385 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		156 Work Experience		
		3 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		157 Job Search		
		106 Vocational Education		
		2 Job Skills Training		
		15 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		1 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 398		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 705	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
NIAGARA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,479,925 (Amount equals \$6,409,931 allocation, plus \$16,973 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,946,979 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$2,479,925
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 160 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 80 families		1 project(s) served 35 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 200 families		1 project(s) served 2,502 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 75 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		140 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		33 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		34 individuals indicated danger		
		12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 697		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		182 Unsubsidized Employment		
		4 Subsidized Employment		
		80 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		1 On the Job Training		
		17 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		3 Job Search		
		20 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		11 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 158		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 293	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ONEIDA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$3,353,730 (Amount equals \$8,844,995 allocation, plus \$30,148 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$61,762 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$295 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,852,733 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,730,147 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$3,353,730
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 240 families		1 project(s) served 46 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 300 families		1 project(s) served 118 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 3,300 families		1 project(s) served 598 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		271 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		23 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		18 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		65 individuals indicated danger		
		16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,042		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		231 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		100 Work Experience		
		4 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		49 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		9 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		51 Education Related to Employment		
		6 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 193		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 357	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ONONDAGA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$7,037,383 (Amount equals \$14,724,289 allocation, plus \$65,693 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,810,190 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$7,037,383
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 88 families		1 project(s) served 110 families		
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 28 families		1 project(s) served 328 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 13 families		1 project(s) served 109 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 500 families		1 project(s) served 1,221 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 75 families		1 project(s) served 117 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 3 project(s) to serve 1,465 families		3 project(s) served 4,909 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 3 project(s) to serve 689 families		3 project(s) served 1,484 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 56 families		2 project(s) served 80 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		1,326 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		28 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		72 individuals indicated danger		
		65 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,758		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		401 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		259 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		7 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		71 Job Search		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	68 Vocational Education	
	71 Job Skills Training	
	22 Education Related to Employment	
	12 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 389	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 684	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ONTARIO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,076,000 (Amount equals \$2,442,998 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$976,998 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$390,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,076,000
Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Other 1 project(s) to serve 10 families		1 project(s) served 40 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		47 individuals indicated danger		
		13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 219		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		50 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		30 Job Search		
		4 Vocational Education		
		5 Job Skills Training		
		7 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 48	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ORANGE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$3,285,588 (Amount equals \$9,207,025 allocation, plus \$35,301 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,431,226 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,525,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$3,285,588
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 380 families		1 project(s) served 109 families		
Housing 2 project(s) to serve 620 families		2 project(s) served 2,289 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 2,050 families		2 project(s) served 3,132 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		84 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		24 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		165 individuals indicated danger		
		51 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,289		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		276 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		104 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		62 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		20 Vocational Education		
		4 Job Skills Training		
		50 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 243	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 392	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ORLEANS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$511,103 (Amount equals \$1,250,756 allocation, plus \$6,868 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$60,839 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$459,377 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$226,305 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$511,103
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		1 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 216		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		42 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		34 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		3 On the Job Training		
		7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
OSWEGO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,515,551 (Amount equals \$5,051,079 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$53,793 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,704,321 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,515,551
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 293 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 90 families		1 project(s) served 334 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 120 families		1 project(s) served 1,734 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		84 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		65 individuals indicated danger		
		33 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 269		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		57 Unsubsidized Employment		
		3 Subsidized Employment		
		32 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		11 Job Search		
		6 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		2 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 49	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
OTSEGO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$597,999 (Amount equals \$2,055,816 allocation, plus \$11,067 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$1,065 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,172,980 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$294,839 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$597,999
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 72 families		2 project(s) served 70 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 20 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 508 families		2 project(s) served 642 families		
Housing 2 project(s) to serve 43 families		2 project(s) served 55 families		
Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Other 1 project(s) to serve 205 families		1 project(s) served 210 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		2 individuals indicated danger		
		2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		4 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		1 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
PUTNAM				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$249,469 (Amount equals \$1,063,044 allocation, plus \$2,584 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$460,359 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$355,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$249,469
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 77 families		1 project(s) served 246 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		4 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		1 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 83%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
RENSSELAER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$902,500 (Amount equals \$4,414,583 allocation, plus \$20,612 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,969,695 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$563,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$902,500
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		162 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 622		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		176 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		19 Work Experience		
		3 Community Service		
		1 On the Job Training		
		12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		39 Job Search		
		41 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 140		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 260	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ROCKLAND				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,226,011 (Amount equals \$6,799,988 allocation, plus \$19,254 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,176,882 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,416,349 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$2,226,011
Other 2 project(s) to serve 74 families		2 project(s) served 130 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		71 individuals indicated danger		
		58 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 502		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		75 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		5 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		8 Job Search		
		5 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		1 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 55	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 91	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ST. LAWRENCE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,164,309 (Amount equals \$3,845,645 allocation, plus \$10,000 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$35,322 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$168,191 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,358,467 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,200,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,164,309
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 175 families		4 project(s) served 69 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		13 individuals indicated danger		
		10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 704		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		95 Unsubsidized Employment		
		3 Subsidized Employment		
		35 Work Experience		
		6 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		8 Vocational Education		
		3 Job Skills Training		
		9 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 77	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 142	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SARATOGA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$221,270 (Amount equals \$3,491,042 allocation, plus \$12,465 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,818,730 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$463,507 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$221,270
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 510 families		1 project(s) served 516 families		
Child Only Services 2 project(s) to serve 1,625 families		2 project(s) served 1,843 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 300 families		1 project(s) served 365 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		22 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 43		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		5 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		0 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
SCHENECTADY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,710,954 (Amount equals \$5,695,432 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,078,783 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"	
				\$1,710,954	
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 140 families		1 project(s) served 0 families			
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		282 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]			
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		75 individuals indicated danger			
		56 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 481			
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]			
		95 Unsubsidized Employment			
		0 Subsidized Employment			
		57 Work Experience			
		9 Community Service			
		0 On the Job Training			
		4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
		18 Job Search			
		12 Vocational Education			
		0 Job Skills Training			
		10 Education Related to Employment			
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
		0 Other			
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 83			
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%			
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 166			
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.5%			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SCHOHARIE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$378,630 (Amount equals \$1,494,485 allocation, plus \$6,315 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$20,489 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$592,241 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$316,657 transferred to Title XX, \$215,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$18,761 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$378,630
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 10 families		1 project(s) served 8 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 11 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 100 families		2 project(s) served 147 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		2 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		4 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		7 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		3 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	4 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 51.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 91.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SCHUYLER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$216,961 (Amount equals \$783,209 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$361,248 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$205,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$216,961
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 71 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 14 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 16 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 6 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 40 families		2 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		10 individuals indicated danger		
		10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		11 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		1 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SENECA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$359,054 (Amount equals \$1,931,006 allocation, plus \$415 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,178,501 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$360,100 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$33,766 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$359,054
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		10 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		5 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 16	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
STEUBEN				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,042,300 (Amount equals \$3,966,399 allocation, plus \$18,886 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,486,985 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$456,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$2,042,300
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 1,800 families		1 project(s) served 1,362 families		
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 1,200 families		1 project(s) served 2,732 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 60 families		2 project(s) served 210 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 340 families		1 project(s) served 188 families		
Other 1 project(s) to serve 300 families		1 project(s) served 5,056 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		156 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		60 individuals indicated danger		
		41 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 242		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		61 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		21 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		5 Job Search		
		8 Vocational Education		
		6 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	15 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	6 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 101	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SUFFOLK				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$7,619,098 (Amount equals \$25,377,057 allocation, plus \$90,040 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$77,620 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$13,446,407 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,475,812 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$3,400 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$7,619,098
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		685 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		24 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		184 individuals indicated danger		
		150 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5,272		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		569 Unsubsidized Employment		
		14 Subsidized Employment		
		220 Work Experience		
		16 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		37 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		109 Job Search		
		104 Vocational Education		
		5 Job Skills Training		
		11 Education Related to Employment		
		8 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 622		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 921	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SULLIVAN				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$828,650 (Amount equals \$2,179,881 allocation, plus \$10,662 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$37,594 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$759,487 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$615,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$828,650
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 12 families		1 project(s) served 57 families		
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 48 families		1 project(s) served 40 families		
Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 900 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 336 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 202		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		49 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		8 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		4 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		2 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	6 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 69	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
TIOGA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$567,573 (Amount equals \$1,496,909 allocation, plus \$7,466 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$756,302 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$180,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$567,573
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 200 families		1 project(s) served 2,183 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 35 families		2 project(s) served 189 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		20 individuals indicated danger		
		23 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 176		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		23 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		14 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		12 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 20	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 37	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
TOMPKINS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$501,478 (Amount equals \$2,754,524 allocation, plus \$1,790 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,487,836 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$767,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$501,478
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		6 individuals indicated danger		
		6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 269		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		52 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		15 Work Experience		
		3 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		6 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		2 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 38		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 81	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
ULSTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,067,278 (Amount equals \$5,657,959 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,407,138 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$33,543 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$1,067,278
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 580 families		2 project(s) served 1,964 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 17 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		101 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		11 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,048		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		85 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		13 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		3 On the Job Training		
		29 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		9 Job Search		
		11 Vocational Education		
		3 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 50	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 128	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
WARREN				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$257,514 (Amount equals \$1,419,068 allocation, plus \$8,012 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$975,364 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$194,202 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$257,514
Case Management 3 project(s) to serve 164 families		3 project(s) served 92 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 24 families		1 project(s) served 103 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		3 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		12 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		4 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		20 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		7 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		7 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		1 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 86.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
WASHINGTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$765,842 (Amount equals \$1,427,485 allocation, plus \$5,077 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$326,714 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$210,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$30,006 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$765,842
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 120 families		1 project(s) served 289 families		
Case Management 4 project(s) to serve 156 families		4 project(s) served 266 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 16 families		1 project(s) served 70 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 10 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		24 individuals indicated danger		
		21 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		20 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		6 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		3 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
WAYNE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$813,383 (Amount equals \$2,802,986 allocation, plus \$8,237 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$270,537 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$163,697 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,804,626 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$300,054 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$813,383
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 24 families		1 project(s) served 69 families		
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 130 families		1 project(s) served 74 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 34 families		1 project(s) served 10 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 34 families		1 project(s) served 14 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		25 individuals indicated danger		
		16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 83		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		25 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		12 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		4 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 40	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
WESTCHESTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$8,431,913 (Amount equals \$27,519,471 allocation, plus \$2 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$14,941,910 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,075,650 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$70,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$8,431,913
Child Only Services 2 project(s) to serve 215 families		2 project(s) served 179 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 14 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		583 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		81 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		23 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		103 individuals indicated danger		
		165 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,485		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		494 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		495 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		119 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		136 Job Search		
		102 Vocational Education		
		29 Job Skills Training		
		178 Education Related to Employment		
		2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 742	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,164	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
WYOMING				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$529,124 (Amount equals \$1,434,214 allocation, plus \$7,395 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$5,282 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$78,495 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$649,344 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$113,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$76,928 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$529,124
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 55 families		1 project(s) served 312 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 150 families		1 project(s) served 120 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 18 families		1 project(s) served 26 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		3 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 57		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		15 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		10 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		3 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
YATES				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$278,777 (Amount equals \$742,625 allocation, plus \$73 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$219,113 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$1,423 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$504,579 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$177,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$278,777
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 20 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 8 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 200 families		2 project(s) served 335 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		3 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		1 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
NYC-HRA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$202,812,965 (Amount equals \$356,385,965 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$78,216,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$75,357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
				\$202,812,965
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 2,724 families		1 project(s) served 688 families		
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 1,428 families		1 project(s) served 2,349 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 13,416 families		1 project(s) served 50,191 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 2,236,092 families		2 project(s) served 1,855,411 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		6,539 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		756 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		693 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		4,828 individuals indicated danger		
		3,674 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 143,515		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		21,664 Unsubsidized Employment		
		691 Subsidized Employment		
		3,241 Work Experience		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	13 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,897 Job Search	
	1,586 Vocational Education	
	664 Job Skills Training	
	808 Education Related to Employment	
	97 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18,449	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26,921	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual’s assessment and employment plan		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09		